

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 MAE ELLA ROBERTSON,

11 Petitioner,

No. CIV S-04-2627 DFL PAN P

12 vs

13 GLORIA HENRY, et al.,

14 Respondents.

ORDER

15 _____/
16 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of
17 habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States
18 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.

19 On March 16, 2005, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
20 herein which were served on petitioner and which contained notice to petitioner that any
21 objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Petitioner
22 has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

23 The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be
24 supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY
25 ORDERED that:


26 /////

1 1. The findings and recommendations filed March 16, 2005, are adopted in full.

2 2. Petitioner's December 10, 2004, application to proceed in forma pauperis is
3 denied.

4 3. This action is summarily dismissed for failure to exhaust state judicial
5 remedies.

6 Dated: 6/22/2005

7
8 
9 _____
10 DAVID F. LEVI
11 United States District Judge
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26